Biofiltración de emisiones gaseosas: fundamentos y aplicaciones

David Gabriel¹, Xavier Gamisans²

¹Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB)

²Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC)





Escola Politècnica Superior d'Enginyeria de Manresa

UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE CATALUNYA

Contents (Part I)

- Who we are
- Gaseous emissions
- Treatment technologies
- Tools that help us in improving knowledge

Contents (Part II)

- Case study 1: Biological treatment of odours in a WWTP
- Case study 2: Biogas desulfurization with high performance Biotrickling Filters

Who we are

Valorization and Biological treatment of wastewaters and waste gases: <u>www.genocov.com</u>

Part of the BIOGLS Technological Center (TECNIO)

Research Group of biological treatment of

gaseous pollutants and odors (TRAGASOL)

More than 20 years of experience in biological treatment of wastewater and waste gases

UPC

UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE CATALUNYA BARCELONATECH

BIO

tecnio

catalonia

3 a 31/12/2019

Grupo de Tratamiento Biológico de Efluentes Líquidos y Gaseosos

ACCIÓ

Departament d'Enginyeria Minera, Industrial i TIC

Department of Mining, Industrial and ITC Engineering Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

www.biofiltration.cat





Gaseous emissions

- Very complex
- Odorants and GHG
- Highly variable
- Dis/continuous emissions

Location	H ₂ S	RSC	NH ₃	VOC-NM	CH ₄	N ₂ O	CO2
Sewer							
Barscreens							
Grit chambers							
Primary							
Activ. Sludge							
Thickeners							
AD (biogas)							
Dewatering							
mpact (no treatment): high			medium		Ιον	low	

Example: WWTP

Gaseous emissions

- Very complex
- Odorants and GHG
- Highly variable
- Dis/continuous emissions
- Depend largerly on plant configuration and operational practices
- Large social concern
- Lack of regulation of odor emissions



Gaseous emissions

- Very complex
- Odorants and GHG
- Highly variable
- Dis/continuous
 - emissions
- Depend largerly on plant configuration and operational practices
- Large social concern
- Lack of regulation of odor emissions

We need to characterize and treat emissions to avoid nuisance and other impacts

Characterization of gaseous emissions







Target?



Available technologies





Physical-chemical techniques

- Well-established at industrial scale
- Proven reliable, stable, robust and effective for H₂S and NH₃ (scrubbers) and VOCs (adsorbers)
- Fast startup
- Large operating costs for diluted gas flows
- Chemicals usage or bed replacement/regeneration

Mature biological techniques





Packed bed type reactors: microorganisms grow as a biofilm



Multiphase bioreactors mean multiple potential limiting steps



A simple open bed biofilter system



Large biotrickling filters for odor control



Advantages and drawbacks

Gas flow rate (m³/h)



- No chemicals: Reduced risks
- Reduced operating costs
- Effective for large gas flowrates with low concentrations of pollutants
- True pollutant degradation
- Proven reliable and robust at fullscale

Advantages and <u>drawbacks</u>



Gas flow rate (m³/h)

- Treats only biodegradable and soluble compounds
- Needs cooling of air to reasonable temperatures
- Startup time can be long
- Clogging risks at medium/high loads
- Discontinous emissions may negatively affect process performance
- Needs some understanding of microbiology

Tools that help us in improving knowledge

- Molecular biology tools for assessing microbial diversity and its evolution along time
- Respirometry and titrimetry to determine degradation mechanisms as well as kinetic and stoichiometric parameters
- Intensive contactors and vectors for gas-liquid mass transfer improvement
- Microelectrodes for assessing concentrations inside biofilms
- Modelling tools for... everything!









Molecular biology tools for assessing microbial diversity and its evolution along time



The optimization and improvement in the design and operation of bioreactors needs of a deep study and characterization of the biocatalysts



Which are the relevant microorganisms?How populations evolve along time?How operating conditions affect the microbial ecology?Which are the removal mechanisms involved?

Main goals:

- To gain knowledge by characterizing and understanding basic phenomena during bioreactor operation in relation to the microbial communities

- To improve our capacity to prevent, diagnose and monitor bioreactor performance

Pyrosequencing and FISH (RNA-based technology) to identify microbial diversity and its evolution along bioreactor operation



Removal mechanisms, stoichiometry and kinetics depends strongly on

microbial community characteristics, and must be determined ad-hoc

Respirometry and titrimetry to determine degradation mechanisms and kinetic and stoichiometric parameters



Respirometry and titrimetry to determine degradation mechanisms and kinetic and stoichiometric parameters



Respirometry and titrimetry to determine degradation mechanisms and kinetic and stoichiometric parameters



Mora et al. Water Research

R. 1: 0.5 H_2 S + 0.5 HS^- + 0.42 O_2 + 0.075 CO_2 + 0.005 HCO_3^- + 0.016 NH_4^+ + 0.489 $H^+ \rightarrow$

0.016 $C_5H_7NO_2 + S^0 + 0.973 H_2O$

R. 2: $S^0 + 1.22 O_2 + 0.267 CO_2 + 0.018 HCO_3^- + 0.057 NH_4^+ + 1.10 H_2O \rightarrow$

0.057 C₅H₇NO₂ + SO₄²⁻ + 2.04 H⁺

Microelectrodes for assessing concentrations inside biofilms

Development of a **Multi-Analite microsensor**, based on MEMS technology, for the measurement of:

- Chemical species
- Mass transport properties







11 gold electrodes of $50\mu m$ diameter designed as working electrodes. 1 macroelectrode of 0.115 cm² designed as reference electrode

```
Ø=S=50μm
```

Novel alternative: Inkjet printing

Microelectrodes for assessing concentrations inside biofilms

• Biofilm growth



Dynamic characteristics monitoring

• Lab-scale biofilm reactor (Flat Plate Bioreactor), reproducing the operation conditions of a biofilm-based reactor



Advanced modelling tools

From rigorous models built in a home-made software environment...



Advanced modelling tools

Modeling of a two-phase Flat Plate Bioreactor: Biomass concentration and velocity profiles





Cunit and Cubelles in the Mediterranean Coast





The WWTP is in the middle of a commercial area, and close to residential areas...

Odour affectation to the surroundings is quite likely



Odor is defined as a sensation resulting from the stimulation of sensory cells in the smell due to the presence of gas-phase organic and inorganic chemical compounds.



Olfactory threshold very low for several compounds



Some odor characteristics:

Similar substances have very different smells

ethylmercaptan (CH₃CH₂SH: rotten cabbage) alylmercaptan (CH₂CHCH₂SH: garlic)

• Smell may become saturaded rapidly with some substances

 $H_2S \rightarrow Olfactory detection limit: ~ 0.0047 ppb_v (~6 \mu g/m^3); saturation 30 - 40 ppm_v$

Odors are not additive: two or more odors can mask each other

Odour in WWTP are usually treated with conventional physical-chemical technologies:

3 Chemical scrubbers in series

(1 acid, 2 NaOH + NaOCl)

- Main Pollutants; H₂S, VOCs
- Low inlet concentrations
 H₂S inlet = 1 - 40 ppm_v
 COVs inlet = 0 - 6 ppm_v





<u>The challenge</u>: to substitute reagents consumption by bacteria, with minimum investment and/or modification of the actual configuration

Pollutant + microorganisms + O₂

Harmless end-products

• Main problem: <u>Contact time (EBRT)</u>



Pilot plant (BTF) designed *ad hoc* to test how much "stress" can overcome our bacteria



Construction and installation of a pilot plant (WWTP Manresa)



Before pilot plant installation



Location of the pilot plant in the WWTP (16)



AIGÜES DE MANRESA S.A.

Plant installation



Pilot plant operated during 1 year



Clearly a minimum EBRT is needed for satisfactory results



Current conditions at the deodorization facility at Cunit-Cubelles WWTP

Paràmetre	Valor			
Polluted gas flowrate(Q_{in})	10,000 m³/h			
Contact time (EBRT)	1.5 s/reactor 4.5 s/three reactors			
Reactor volume (V)	4 m ³			
рН	6.5			
Make-up water	0.4 m³/h			
Recirculation	5 m³/h			
Pollutants	H₂S, Volatile Organic Compounds			
H_2S	2 – 30 ppm			
VOCs	o – 6 ppm			
Liquid volume per reactor	1.8 m ³			



The proposed solution should alson account with seasonal variations....



...and hourly variations!!



- Low loads during the morning until the afternoon
- High loads during the late afternoon

Conversion protocol of chemical scrubbers into

BTFs at the Cunit-Cubelles WWTP

Conversion performed by ECOTEC under the supervision of UPC and UAB

Main modifications

- Packing material replacement
- Gas-liquid separator replacement
- Paclimg mat. Support replacement
- Pumps replacement (lower power)
- Flowmeters and valves
- pH and level controls
- Inoculation port placement
- Distribution liquid system replacement
- Pressure drop monitoring















Process monitoring

Sampling and monitoring protocols stablished



Main results



- Start-up a bit slower tan pilot tests
- Average removal efficiency: RE >97%
- System able to face inlet load fluctuations

Odor abatement

Results from dynamic olfactometry Odor removal 85% April May 68% June 90% 93% July September 72% 0% 10% 50% 60% 20% 30% 40% 70% 80% 90% 100% Inlet (OU/m₃) ∎ Outlet (OU/m₃)

- Average odor RE 81%: Higher odor concentration \rightarrow higher RE
- Number of odor complaints reduced to few punctual episodes

Economic feasibility

Cost-Benefit analysis based in the amount of reagents needed for complete pollutants abatement.



CASE STUDY 2 **Biogas desulfurization** with high performance **Biotrickling Filters**



Hydrogen sulfide oxidation by chemoautotrophic bacteria



Where we did the work?



EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

TYPICAL OPERATING CONDITIONS

- Volume = 2.15 L
- Gas residence time (EBRT) = 180 s
- Liquid residence time = 10h
- More than 5 years under continuous operation
- pH control (6.0 and 2.0)
- [H₂S]_{in} = **2000** to 10000 ppm_v
- Packed with:
 - Inox Pall rings (10mm)
 - Plastic HD-QPack
- Inoculation with WWTP aerobic sludge





... but we also have done some work in full-scale biotrickling filters



2500 ppm_v H₂S; 83 m³ biogas h⁻¹
Oxygen transfer and solids flushing
1" Pall rings
Acid pH (2.6) and pH control by make-up water
Some drawbacks observed...



Elemental S accumulation is the main bottleneck of the process for the treatment of high loads of H₂S

(ppm_v) ($gm_2^{\circ} mm)$ (vv) (vv)	
3,000 74 5.3 60-70 28-38	
6,000 155 2.6 20-30 68-78	
10,000 259 1.6 3-4 94-95	

(Fortuny et al, 2008, Chemosphere)





Biogas desulfurization: experiencies from lab-scale to full-scale

A range of designs, operational conditions and strategies have been tested

H ₂ S _{in} (ppm _v)	EC _{max} (g H ₂ S m ⁻³ h ⁻¹)	O _{2supplied}	S-SO ₄ ^{2-/} S-H ₂ S _{removed} (%)	pН	Packing	G/L flow pattern	
8000	190	Gas pipe	12	6	HD-Qpack structured	Counter current	Fortuny et al, 2008, Chemosphere
8000	175	Gas pipe	clogging	6	PUF	Counter current	Fortuny et al, 2008, Chemosphere
8000	201	Diffuser	57	6.5	HD-Qpack structured	Counter current	Montebello et al, 2010, CEJ
8000	223	Diffuser	56	2.5	Pall rings	Counter current	Montebello et al, 2014, JHazMat
2500	72	Difusser	52	1.9	Pall rings	Co+Counter current	Rodríguez et al, 2014, PSEP
2500	54	Jet-venturi	61	1.7	Pall rings	Co+Counter current	Rodríguez et al, 2014, PSEP
8000	212	Diffuser	52	6.5	Pall rings	Cocurrent	López et al., in prep

Biogas desulfurization: experiencies from lab-scale to full-scale

Leasons learned so far...

- It works!!! Robust process: long-term stability if periodically cleaned
- Packing material surface area is not critical
- Packing material structure plays a major role in S⁰ flushing/biomass retention
- Cocurrent G/L flow pattern is slightly better that countercurrent
- H₂S removal at acid pH slightly improves H₂S EC
- At acid pH microbial diversity is lower but less competition/problems occur
- Maximum performance $EC_{max} = 210-220 \text{ g H}_2 \text{S/m}^3 \text{h}$ increasing concentration
- Key factor: oxygen supply
 - 1. If large, excessive biogas dilution
 - 2. If short, excessive S⁰ production

What is limiting reactor performance at high concentrations? Oxygen G-L mass transfer





	Aeration	system		
Variable	Compressor Jet venturi (D2) (G)		Units	
Hq	1.88 ± 0.07	1.82 ± 0.02	-	
Air Flowrate	22.1 ± 5.7	4.7 ± 2.1	m ³ h ⁻¹	
DO	1.4 ± 1.1	1.5 ± 1.6	mg l ⁻¹	
% CH4	51 ± 6	57 ± 3	% v/v	
Water consumption	4.3 ± 1.0	7.6 ± 2.7	m ³ d ⁻¹	
Biogas Flowrate	1875 ± 397	1746 ± 434	m ³ d ⁻¹	
H ₂ S in	2556 ± 334	2468 ± 398	ppm _v	
H ₂ S out	58 ± 158	29 ± 16	ppm _v	
Sulfate	3351 ± 551	2040 ± 408	mg SO₄²- I-1	
RE	98 ± 7	99 ± 1	%	
EC	72 ± 22	66 ± 18	g m ⁻³ h ⁻¹	
Inlet Load	78 ± 23	67 ± 20	g m ⁻³ h ⁻¹	
Ratio O ₂ /H ₂ S	23 ± 2	5 ± 1	mol O_2 mol H_2S^{-1}	
%Conversion to sulfate	52 ± 19	69 ± 43	%	

Periodic elemental sulfur oxidation to avoid reactor clogging



Take home ideas:

Bioreactors work and are very competitive vs physical-chemical processes

Further research is needed to expand the potential fields of application of gas-phase bioreactors

G-L mass transfer and biology are the key aspects in reactors performance and design

Multidisciplinary approaches and tools (biology, monitoring, modelling...) are needed to improve knowledge

Some public funding

Desarrollo y caracterización de biorreactores para el tratamiento biológico de efluentes gaseosos (CICYT PPQ2003-02482). 2003-2006

Tratamiento por biofiltración de efluentes odoríferos contaminados con compuestos orgánicos e inorgánicos volátiles (MMA 183/2006/3-11.1). 2006-2007

Tratamiento integral de efluentes gaseosos en instalaciones industriales mediante biorreactores (CICYT CTQ2006-14997-C02). 2006-2009

Desulfuración de gases ricos energeticamente mediante biofiltros percoladores: desarrollo y optimizacion del proceso en condiciones anoxicas y aerobias (CICYT CTM2009-14338-C03). 2010-2012

Monitorizacion, modelizacion y control para la optimizacion de biofiltros percoladores de desulfuracion anoxicos y aerobios (CICYT CTM2012-37927-C03). 2013-2015

Desarrollo de un proceso integral de tratamiento de SOx y NOx procedentes de gases de combustión orientado a su valorización (MINECO CTQ2015-69802-C2). 2016-2018

Developing on line tools to monitor, control and mitigate GHG emissions in WWTPs (Horizon 2020 Sub-programme Call: H2020-MSCA-RISE-2014 , Project ID: 645769). 2015-2019

Some private funding

Estudio de la viabilidad de la eliminación de sulfuro de hidrógeno a altas concentraciones mediante biofiltración. (Tecnium, Casals Cardona Industrial). 2005-2006

Optimización de rellenos utilizables como soporte para la biofiltración de corrientes gaseosas (Sistemas y Tecnologías Ambientales). 2005-2006

Conversión de lavadores químicos en biofiltros percoladores para el tratamiento de gases residuales (Ecotec S.A.) 2009-2011

Optimización de Compostaje, Biosecado y Olores (Urbaser S.A.) 2013-2015

Nuevas formulaciones para la obtención del pigmento azul ultramar (Grupo Ferro Inc.) 2015-2017



Biofiltración de emisiones gaseosas: fundamentos y aplicaciones

david.gabriel@uab.cat

xavier.gamisans@upc.edu